- (c) As per information made available by the CBI, during the period from 1.1.2005 to 30.9.2006, sanction for prosecution was sought in 802 cases out of which the sanction was refused in 15 cases; and in 6 cases involving more than one public servants, sanction for prosecution was refused in respect of a few of the public servants in each case.
- (d) The competent authority is required to examine each case on merits before deciding whether or not a *prima facie* case has been made out for grant of sanction for prosecution within the prescribed time frame.
- (e) Government has set up Special Courts in various States exclusively for trial of CBI cases.

Complaints received by the Ministry

- 345. SHRI RAVULA CHANDRA SEKAR REDDY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:
- (a) the number of complaints received by the Ministry regarding public grievances and pensions during the last three years, State-wise; and
- (b) the number of complaints pending and disposed of during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI): (a) A statement containing the number of complaints regarding public grievances and pensions received in the Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances and in the Department of Pensions respectively during the past 3 years, State-wise is placed at Statement (See below).

(b) After scrutiny of the complaints the same are forwarded to the State Governments concerned under intimation to the complainants for immediate redressal of the grievances. On the basis of their gravity and seriousness certain grievances are also closely monitored by this Department. Directions have been issued to all States to redress grievances of citizens within a period of two months and also to give a reasoned reply within the period, if grievances cannot be redressd. The Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances and Department of Pensions received 4404: 5142 and 7603 number of

complaints during the year 2004, 2005 and 2006 respectively and all the grievances have been forwarded to the States concerned for redressal.

Statement
Grievances received in the Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances and in the Department of Pensions

| SI.No. | Name of the State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|-------------|-------------------|------|-------|-------------|
| 1. | Andaman & Nicobar | 19 | 12 | 31 |
| 2. | Andhra Pradesh | 220 | 263 | 4 67 |
| 3. | Arunachal Pradesh | 15 | . 13 | 13 |
| 4. | Assam | 70 | 62 | . 68 |
| 5 . | Bihar | 111 | 160 | 160 |
| 6 . | Chhattishgarh | 59 | 46 | 42 |
| 7 . | Goa | 20 | 25 | 21 |
| 8. | Gujarat | 120 | 210 | 315 |
| 9. | Haryana | 166 | 190 | 285 |
| 10. | Himachal Pradesh | 27 | 40 | 43 |
| 11. | Jammu & Kashmir | 55 | 42 | 52 |
| 12. | Jharkhand | 62 | 81 | 64 |
| 13 . | Karnataka | 153 | 181 | 868 |
| 14. | Kerala | 126 | 141 🕠 | 192 |
| 15 . | Madhya Pradesh | 149 | 173 | 210 |
| 16. | Maharashtra | 404 | 485 | 1359 |
| 17 . | Manipur | 17 | 4 | 7 |
| 18. | Meghalaya | 3 | . 9 | 10 |
| 19 . | Mizoram | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 20. | Nagaland | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 21 | NCT of Delhi | 349 | 525 | 480 |
| 22. | Orissa | 88 | 67 | 74 |

| SI.No. | Name of the State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 23 . | Pondicherry | 13 | 27 | 30 |
| 24. | Punjab | 164 | 197 | 186 |
| 25. | Rajasthan | 172 | 153 | 188 |
| 26. | Sikkim | 3 | . 1 | 1 |
| 27 . | Tamil Nadu | 315 | 490 | ′ 912 |
| 28. | Tripura | 10 | 10 | 2 |
| 29 . → | Union Territory of Chandigarh | 18 | 30 | 24 |
| 30. | Union Territory of Daman & Diu | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 31. | Union Territory of Dadar & Nagar | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | Haveli | | | |
| 32 . | Union Territory of Lakshadweep | 88 | 1 | 1 |
| 33. | Uttar Pradesh | 664 | 776 | 767 |
| 34. | Uttarakhand | 177 | 166 | . 220 |
| 35. | West Bengal | 526 | 552 | 501 |
| | Total: | 4404 | 5142 | 7603 |

Reviewing of CSS (Conduct) Rules

346. SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI: SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA.

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

- (a) whether Government have taken up a comprehensive review of existing CSS (Conduct) Rules by an independent Human Resource Management institution in order to liberalize the outdated rules for the employees;
- (b) if so, by when and by which agency alongwith the recommendation thereof; and
 - (c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRI SURESH PACHOURI):